

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/826,854	54 04/16/2004		Thomas P. Foran	EMP-134US	2085
24314	7590	01/07/2005		EXAM	IINER
JANSSON,	SHUPE	& MUNGER, LTI	BENNETT, GEORGE B		
245 MAIN S	TREET	·			r
RACINE, WI 53403				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
·				2859	

DATE MAILED: 01/07/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Re				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
000-1-0	10/826,854	FORAN, THOMAS P.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	G. Bradley Bennett	2859				
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	on appears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicat - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a retion. s, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT y statute, cause the application to become ABA	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on	16 April 2004.					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ∑	This action is non-final.					
• •	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims	nder Ex parte Quayle, 1905 C.B.	11, 400 0.0. 210.				
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-18 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction	ithdrawn from consideration.					
Application Papers		•				
9) The specification is objected to by the Ex. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 30 June 2004 is/a Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the company of the compa	are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ object to the drawing(s) be held in abeyand correction is required if the drawing(s	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docu 2. Certified copies of the priority docu 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International E * See the attached detailed Office action for	uments have been received. uments have been received in Ap e priority documents have been Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		ummary (PTO-413) //Mail Date				
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9-3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/Paper No(s)/Mail Date 2. 		formal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-3, 9, 11-13, 16 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Hopkins.
- 3. Hopkins discloses the invention as claimed where: **32** is a body with first and second ends; **38** are marker rings; **36** is a bubble in the cavity; and member **34** has first and second dark-colored bands. The bands will inherently reflect as claimed since they are located in the position as claimed in the instant invention. The method will be performed during normal operation of the Hopkins device.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 4-6, 10, 14, 15 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hopkins.

Application/Control Number: 10/826,854

Art Unit: 2859

6. Hopkins discloses the invention substantially as claimed. However, Hopkins does not disclose that the bands or marker rings being integrated in the manner claimed. Official Notice is taken that it is old and well-known in the measuring art to integrate parts for the purpose of reducing the number of parts on an apparatus. Furthermore, the courts have held that making something integral on an apparatus is obvious [see In re Larson, 144 USPQ 347 (CCPA 1965)]. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to integrate the bands and/or markers in of Hopkins in any of the manners claimed for the purpose of simplifying the Hopkins device.

Page 3

- 7. Claims 7 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hopkins in view of Weagle.
- 8. Hopkins discloses the invention substantially as claimed. However, Hopkins does not disclose the end closures as claimed. Weagle discloses end closures 2 for the purpose of sealing a bubble level. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use end closures as taught by Weagle in conjunction with the Hopkins device for the purpose of sealing the bubble level of Hopkins.
- 9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to G. Bradley Bennett whose telephone number is 571.272.2237. The examiner can normally be reached on M-TH 8:30-5:30.

Application/Control Number: 10/826,854

Art Unit: 2859

872-9306.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Diego F.F. Gutierrez can be reached on 571.272.2245. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

> Primary Examiner Art Unit 2859

Page 4

gbb 6 JAN 2005